

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

JENNIFER DIVIDOCK,

PLAINTIFF,

CASE NO. 07-10804

v.

OFFICEMAX NORTH AMERICA, *ET AL.*,

HONORABLE ARTHUR J. TARNOW
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DEFENDANTS.

/

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT [D/E # 3, 21, 23, 24, & 25] & DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL [D/E # 2]

Before the Court are Plaintiff's Application for Appointment of Counsel [D/E # 2], Defendants Mallion, Moran, Hendin and Williamson's Motion to Dismiss [D/E # 3], Defendant Pohl's Motion to Dismiss [D/E # 21], Defendants United States Attorney and EEOC's Motion to Dismiss [D/E # 23], Defendant United Healthcare's Motion to Dismiss [D/E # 24], and Defendant Michigan Department of Civil Rights' Motion to Dismiss [D/E # 25]. Having heard oral argument on May 16, 2007, for the reasons stated on the record

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all pending Defendants' Motions to Dismiss are **GRANTED**. As a result, Defendants Mallion, Moran, Hendin, Williamson, Pohl, the U.S. Attorney, the EEOC, United Healthcare, and the Michigan Department of Civil Rights are **DISMISSED** from the case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Michigan Department of Labor is also **DISMISSED** from this case for the reasons stated on the record even though no motion to dismiss had been filed. As a result, the only remaining Defendant is OfficeMax North America, which still needs to be served with the complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's application for appointment of counsel is
DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ARTHUR J. TARNOW
Arthur J. Tarnow
United States District Judge

Dated: May 21, 2007

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on May 21, 2007, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/THERESA E. TAYLOR
Case Manager